

REMARKS

The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application having an SIR Request in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Claims 7, 15, 19, and 37 are currently being amended. No new matter is added.

A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate status identifier for each claim.

After amending the claims as set forth above, claims 1-16 and 19-41 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112

In the Notice of Non-compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112 of application having SIR Request mailed on December 7, 2005, claims 7, 15, 16, 19, and 37 are rejected as failing to comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Specifically, claim 7 is rejected as having insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation “the same occupant.” Claims 15-16 are rejected as having insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation “the area.” Claim 19 is rejected as having insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation “the same occupant.” Claim 37 is rejected as having insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation “the same occupant.” Claims 7, 15, 19, and 37 have been amended so that they are believed by the Applicants to comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claims 7, 15, 19, and 37 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, be withdrawn. Additionally, claim 16 depends from claim 15, and the Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, be withdrawn as well.

Conclusion

The Applicants believe that the present application having an SIR Request is now in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112. Favorable reconsideration and publication of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 06-1447. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check or credit card payment form being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 06-1447.

If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, the Applicants hereby petition for such extension under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 and authorize payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 06-1447.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 3/7/2006

By Chad E. Bement

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 26371
Telephone: (414) 297-5554
Facsimile: (414) 297-4900

Chad E. Bement
Attorney for the Applicants
Registration No. 54,991